

Appl. No. 08/819,020
Amdt. Dated: August 29, 2005
Reply to Office Action of July 29, 2005

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Docket No. IRI05428
Customer No. 23330

REMARKS

Claim 1-2, 4, 10, 12 and 16-20 cancelled. Claims 3, 5-9, 11 and 13-15 remain in the application. The actions taken are in the interest of expediting prosecution and with no intention of surrendering any range of equivalents to which Applicants would otherwise be entitled in view of the prior art. Moreover, the amendment or cancellation of claims herein is without prejudice to pursuing claims of different scope by way of continuing Application. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

U.S.C. 102(e)

Claims 1, 4, 10, 12, 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated Mass (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0184373). Claims 1, 4, 10, 12, 16, 19 and 20 are cancelled, rendering this rejection moot.

U.S.C. 103

Claim 2 is rejected under U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Mass in view of Holden (U.S. Patent No. 6,771,639). Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Mass in view of Dorenbosch (U.S. Patent No. 6,697,614). Claims 2, and 17-18 have been cancelled rendering these rejections moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 5-9, 11 and 13-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The claims have been rewritten as such and therefore are believed to be allowable.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Appl. No. 09/819,020
Amdt. Dated: August 29, 2005
Reply to Office Action of July 29, 2005

Docket No. IRI05428
Customer No. 23330

Prior Art

The references cited but not relied upon are believed not to anticipate or make obvious Applicants' invention.

Summary

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Accordingly, this application is believed to be in proper form for allowance and an early notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Please charge any fees associated herewith, including extension of time fees, to 502117, Motorola, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department
Customer Number 23330

By: Kevin D. Wills

Kevin D. Wills
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 43,993
Telephone: 480-732-5364
Fax No.: 480-732-2402